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| Shared Infrastructure: Box.Net | Dean June       | Bill Wrobleski | • Several weeks ago, the University of Michigan agreed to participate with eight other institutions to be an early adopter of a new Box.net provided service. As an early adopter, U-M agreed to participate in a pilot phase of the service to commence October 3, 2011. The new service provides U-M with an alternative means for collaboration and shared file storage management, while achieving alignment with NextGen Program cloud, storage and collaboration strategies.  
  • Project is expected to successfully launch pilot on October 3rd.  
  • An announcement on the agreement is expected in early October. |
| Shared Infrastructure: Network | Don Swain        | Andy Palms    | • The project is working on the Service Definition document, which defines the scope of the service at project completion.  
  • Requirements have been documented and are in the processes of being refined for stakeholder review.  
  • The solution architecture blueprint (a document that describes the technology will work together in the final solution) for the project is currently being developed.  
  • Roles are being identified for the project and service rollout. |
| Shared Infrastructure: Collaboration | Dawn Brennan     | Bill Wrobleski | • Google Agreement  
  - Lack of signed Google agreement has impacted schedule.  
  - Remaining issues have been resolved with Google and the agreement is being routed for final review and approval with campus leadership.  
  • Business Requirements  
  - Completed documenting project requirements  
  - Met with users across campus to discuss email/calendar workflow and public folders.  
  - Consolidated regulated data survey responses and began to attend meetings with impacted units to review email/calendar options.  
  • Technical Requirements  
  - Continued to meet with the Technical Workgroup.  
  - During the past month, the workgroup drafted the single sign-on architecture and discussed the MCommunity-Google connection and email migration functionality.  
  - Completed mail routing and mail migration analysis and design.  
  - Communications, Training, and Support  
  - Held Faculty User Advisory Committee kick-off meeting on 9/26.  
  - Held Student User Advisory Committee kick-off meeting on 9/28. |
| Shared Infrastructure: End User Computing | Dave Sterling    | Tim Kelly     | • The project team finalized documents for the Sponsor Project Gate Review scheduled on October 4th.  
  • Began gathering functional requirements  
  • Steering group completed leading practices report  
  • Working with campus and ITS to identify and on-board people to participate in project design |
| Shared Infrastructure: Cloud Computing | Dean June        | Bill Wrobleski | • The project team finalized documents for the Sponsor Project Gate Review scheduled on October 4th.  
  • Pre-Analyze and Design work began which was focused on:  
  - Developing the Next Generation server virtualization service  
  - Identifying specific technical and service requirements for the new services  
  - For example: the new services will include managed operating system and database services  
  • In the coming months before these services are launched, more communication will occur to ensure alignment with NextGen Michigan End User Services Bundle |
| Shared Infrastructure: Storage | Dean June        | Bill Wrobleski | • The project team finalized documents for the Sponsor Project Gate Review scheduled on October 4th.  
  • In the last month, NextGen has redefined the original Accenture Consulting recommendation for storage, which was focused on tactical realignment of ITS storage.  
  • The redefined goals for the Storage Project will be to create shared and personal drive space required by end users, as well as create a consolidated environment for other local unit storage needs. In addition, a storage roadmap has been developed for the university that addresses a wide range of storage needs, including emerging needs in the area of research data sets and long-term data storage.  
  • The project team developed and submitted additional 2013 capital funding requests for several components of the proposed Storage Strategy including tiered storage and tiered backup solutions. |
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| NextGen: Open Academic Environment: Sakai 3 | Sean DeMonner | John Gohsman | • Version 1 of the Sakai OAE was released. Version 1.01 which includes enhanced hybrid support for Classroom Learning Environment (CLE) integration will be released by the end of Oct.  
• OAE pilot/demo planning started on September 15th.  
• Academic pilot partners remain to be identified but preliminary discussions have begun on defining scope of demo and pilot.  
• A meeting at Educause in mid-Oct has been scheduled to confirm shared product vision and alignment.  
• The vision for the Sakai product is heightening the investment in Sakai by Sakai institutions.  
• Discussions have begun with the NextGen Google Collaboration team to ensure coordination of our activities and smooth change management with respect to integration and rollout. | ✓ |
| NextGen: Flux Expansion to 10,000 Cores | Lisa Poulson | Andy Palms | • The Dell servers have been ordered and have started arriving at the MACC. A request for the rest of the Flux equipment (Infiniband, Ethernet switch, cables, GPUs) has been submitted to Purchasing. We are targeting a November ‘go live’ of the Flux expansion to 4,000 cores. | ✓ |
| NextGen: Performance Optimized Data Center | Dick Boyd | Andy Palms | • Contract for the new EcoPOD with HP being finalized by procurement.  
• Contract has been awarded for power substation infrastructure. Bids for building/site improvements are due 9/13/2011.  
• No change to proposed completion date of Spring 2012. | ✓ |
| NextGen: Enhanced Cellular Infrastructure | Bob Scapelliti | Andy Palms | • The schedule is progressing as planned.  
• Verizon is working in the stadium, and has continued to optimize their service there.  
• AT&T is not yet on the permanent DAS, but has completed testing of it.  
• Engineering work to move onto the system will begin next week after the test results are processed.  
• Children and Women’s hospital is built out for three carriers.  
• Sprint’s push-to-talk service is the first to be deployed per hospital staff request.  
• Comprehensive engineering design testing will be completed in the entire hospital complex over the next three weeks to inform the next iteration of the engineering design. | ✓ |
| Foundational Services: Funding and Chargeback Model | Achim Welter | Ron Fitzgerald | • The project continues to be on track, including plans to start socializing the IT Funding and Chargeback Model with sponsor (Executive Leadership) and project owner groups in October.  
• Finished initial version of the Service Layer decision framework.  
• Established initial version of the funding and investment framework.  
• Started development of initial version of the chargeback framework. | ✓ |
| Foundational Services: Information Assurance | Don Swain | Paul Howell | • Completed planning phase deliverables (early September)  
• Executive Review for approval for analyze and design phase scheduled for October 4th  
• Began planning and aligning the efforts required to complete the analyze and design phase. | ✓ |
### Foundational Services: MCommunity
- Ann Tuttle
- Darcy Turner
- On September 26, 2011, MCommunity completed the real-time data feed to the Active Directory to de-provision user accounts. MCommunity more accurately reflects who is and is not a member of the U-M community. The new data feed provides Active Directory with more timely and accurate information.
- In 2012, MCommunity will begin to synchronize groups with the Active Directory.
- Development for DART integration is on target to be completed in October and November for the 2nd comprehensive system test phase.

### Shared Service Provider Capabilities: Service Management
- Cristine Little
- Mike Loviska
- Analyze and Design Phase continues, and is currently projected to complete on schedule.

### Shared Service Provider Capabilities: IT Cost Management
- David Lahie
- Barry MacDougall
- Implementation started with three main phases:
  - Foundation establishment
  - Wave 1 Infrastructure cost models
  - Wave 2 Application cost models
- Implementation activities underway
  - Detailed project timeline
  - Deliverables matrix and templates
  - Detailed service costing schedules
  - Resource needs identification and requests
  - Detailed data definitions for modeling
- Interdependency with service catalog and present transitional state poses risk to the project’s schedule.

### Shared Service Provider Capabilities: Customer Relationship Management
- Phil Ray
- Tim Kelly
- The Unit Representative program launched in September.
- Service Level Expectations definition is complete.
- Customer Relationship Management reporting definition and tool specifications effort has started.

### Shared Service Provider Capabilities: Operations and Availability
- Rich Moffat
- Mike Loviska
- The Analyze and Design Phase for the consolidated processes effort is on track to complete by the end of October.
- Process and tool requirements have been documented and feedback is being incorporated after being validated.
  - An integrated project plan is being finalized.

### Shared Service Provider Capabilities: Portfolio and Project Management
- Dani Vedagiri
- Cathy Curley
- Scope prioritization with stakeholder representatives completed.
- Project planning started and in progress. Vendor consultant scheduled to be on site week of 10/17 to assist with scope definition, roadmap release strategy and estimating.
- A delay in acquiring vendor resources occurred and reduced availability of ITS project management resource in October. The Planning phase schedule will be adjusted upon arrival of vendor consultant.

**Project Health:**
- Indicates the project is progressing as expected. Significant risks or issues have not been identified or have been successfully mitigated.
- Indicates an issue occurred, which has not been mitigated, that may impact the project with regard to scope, schedule, quality, resources, cost, or benefit.