<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Project Owner</th>
<th>Project Status</th>
<th>Project Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Shared Infrastructure: Network      | Don Swain        | Andy Palms    | • Project is on track.  
• Analyze and Design phase deliverables continue to be identified, defined and completed. | ✓              |
|                                     |                 |               |                                                                                 |                |
| Shared Infrastructure: Collaboration| Dawn Brennan    | Bill Wrobleski| • Google Agreement  
- Resolved identified issues. Meeting scheduled in early September with Google and U-M to finalize the Google agreement.  
- Business Requirements  
- Validated business requirements with Project Owner. Completed functional requirements gathering.  
- Sent an assessment to identified units requesting information on their use of regulated data. These assessments are due on early September and the information will be used to assist these units in determining their chosen email/calendar service.  
- Technical Requirements  
- Kicked off the Technical Workgroup on August 4. During the past month, this group has drafted the mail routing and groups architecture and discussed the options for provisioning, authentication, and mail migration.  
- Announced cross-campus Technical Advisory Committee membership. Kick-off meeting scheduled for September.  
- Signed contract with Appirio (Google implementation partner) for technical resource augmentation.  
• Communications, Training, and Support  
- Communications: Completed the 90-day communications plan. The Collaboration project website is being updated in preparation for the Google agreement announcement.  
- Support: Drafted the Service Definition document which provides a high-level overview of the collaboration service and the support that is required. | ✓              |
|                                     |                 |               |                                                                                 |                |
| Shared Infrastructure: End User Computing | Michael Gattinger | Tim Kelly    | • The project has completed the revised business case and the NextGen Michigan Program Office recommends the project move forward. Sponsor review meeting is being scheduled.  
• In August, the EUC project used the current state assessment analysis to develop the EUC Executive Business Case and a description of the intended future state.  
• The project team will now begin analysis activities, with early focus aimed at resourcing and high level solution architecture.  
• The Steering group, initially charted in July, has moved from overview and definition mode into deliverable mode in the form of working groups which are subsets of the group. The Steering group will continue to inform the project on external information and opportunities, provide deliverable review and to perform end user outreach. | ✓              |
|                                     |                 |               |                                                                                 |                |
| Shared Infrastructure: Cloud Computing | Dean June        | Bill Wrobleski| • The project has completed the revised business case and the NextGen Michigan Program Office recommends the project move forward. Sponsor review meeting is being scheduled.  
• The Cloud Computing Project team shared the Cloud Computing Strategy materials with several groups including the NextGen Michigan Program and owners and the Unit IT Steering Committee. These sessions were an important step in gaining consensus for how to proceed with the next steps in turning the strategy into reality and officially gaining approval to move to the next phase in the project. We also used August to finalize our resource plans for the next phase of the project. | ✓              |
|                                     |                 |               |                                                                                 |                |
| Shared Infrastructure: Storage      | Dean June        | Bill Wrobleski| • The project has completed the revised business case and the NextGen Michigan Program Office recommends the project move forward. Sponsor review meeting is being scheduled.  
• The Storage Project Team completed several key deliverables in early August, including the Storage Strategy. The Strategy will be presented to several groups in September to gather feedback and help identify next steps for turning the strategy into an implementation. The Project Team is also working with the NextGen Program Office to determine which aspects of the Accenture IT Rationalization recommendations should be implemented and when. | ✓              |
## Project Status

### NextGen: Open Academic Environment: Sakai 3
- **Project Title:** NextGen: Open Academic Environment: Sakai 3
- **Project Manager:** Sean DeMonner
- **Project Director:** John Gohsman
- **Project Status:** (High-Level monthly status summary)
- **Project Health:**

  - **Version 1 of the OAE product was released on Thurs Sept 8th.**
  - **The local U-M team has successfully brought up a Proof of Concept server in the VaaS environment that contains an OAE and Collaboration and Learning Environment (CLE), as well as hybrid integration via Learning Tools Interoperability (LTI). This is intended to be a temporary environment only, used to better installation and configuration issues. A longer term home for Development and other environments is pending the formal kickoff of the project and subsequent planning.**
  - **Training of ITS technical staff continues under the contract with Ian Boston (expert on the Sakai OAE Nakamura back-end).**
  - **A project strategy and institutional alignment session is being planning in Indiana at the end of Sept.**
  - **A product visioning and planning session is being planned at EDUCAUSE in October**

### NextGen: Flux Expansion to 10,000 Cores
- **Project Title:** NextGen: Flux Expansion to 10,000 Cores
- **Project Manager:** Lisa Poulson
- **Project Director:** Andy Palms
- **Project Status:**

  - **The team has made the decision to purchase an additional 2,000 cores of the current Dell HPC technology. We expect shipment of these Dell servers the first week of October. Infiniband, Lustre storage, GPU and Ethernet equipment will be ordered within the next 2-3 weeks.**
  - **The Flux Team System Administrator and HD Technician positions have been filled, with the new resources starting in October.**

### NextGen: Performance Optimized Data Center
- **Project Title:** NextGen: Performance Optimized Data Center
- **Project Manager:** Dick Boyd
- **Project Director:** Andy Palms
- **Project Status:**

  - **Contract for the new EcoPOD with HP being finalized by procurement.**
  - **Contract has been awarded for power substation infrastructure. Bids for building/site improvements are due 9/13/2011.**
  - **No change to proposed completion date of Spring 2012.**

### NextGen: Enhanced Cellular Infrastructure
- **Project Title:** NextGen: Enhanced Cellular Infrastructure
- **Project Manager:** Bob Scapelliti
- **Project Director:** Andy Palms
- **Project Status:**

  - **The vendor is fully supporting the campus-wide effort and is actively optimizing the system at the stadium with a full engineering and operations contingent. The outdoor nodes are built, but one set of equipment is delayed, and therefore the vendor is installing a Cellular on Wheels (COW) at two off campus sites north and south of the stadium to cover the tailgate areas for the first couple of games.**

### Foundational Services: Funding and Chargeback Model
- **Project Title:** Foundational Services: Funding and Chargeback Model
- **Project Manager:** Achim Welter
- **Project Director:** Ron Fitzgerald
- **Project Status:**

  - **Project continues to be on track.**
  - **Finished impact analysis and established impact assessment template to support identifying the potential impact on financial streams within U-M pending changes in the IT Funding Model. Established initial Guiding and Design Principles and reviewed these with sponsors, key stakeholders and Budget and Finance SME’s.**
  - **Established initial version of the Service Layer decision framework.**
  - **Started development of the funding framework.**
  - **The project is planning to start socializing the IT Funding and Chargeback Model with sponsor (Executive Leadership) and project owner groups starting in October.**

### Foundational Services: Information Assurance
- **Project Title:** Foundational Services: Information Assurance
- **Project Manager:** Don Swain
- **Project Director:** Paul Howell
- **Project Status:**

  - **The project has completed the revised business case and the NextGen Michigan Program Office recommends the project move forward. Sponsor review meeting is being scheduled.**
  - **The project is finalizing the Planning Phase documents which include the scope, business case, and work plan. It is expected that the project will complete Planning Phase in September.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Project Director</th>
<th>Project Status [High-Level monthly status summary]</th>
<th>Project Health†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Foundational Services: MCommunity     | Ann Tuttle      | Darcy Turner     | • The real-time data feed from MCommunity to the Active Directory to de-provision user accounts is on target to be released to campus in September.  
• Additional scope and requirements are needed for the DART go-live in March 2012. This work is on target to be completed by October with the additional development being ready for the 2nd comprehensive system test phase beginning in November 2011. | ![Green Check]   |
| Shared Service Provider Capabilities: | Cristine Little | Mike Loviska     | • Analyze and Design Phase continues, and is currently projected to complete on schedule.  
• Resources from ITS have been assigned to the project to address work constraints.  
• The project is providing a consultant-type approach to help other projects within the NextGen Michigan Program through their Service Design and Service Transition activities.  
• A senior developer from BMC has started to help develop the BMC IT service management tool (Remedy).  
• The BMC Senior Developer consultant started on 8/22. | ![Green Check]   |
| Service Management                     | David Lahie     | Barry McDougall  | • Implementation started with three main phases  
  - Foundation establishment  
  - Wave 1 Infrastructure cost models  
  - Wave 2 Application cost models  
  - Implementation activities underway  
  - Detailed project timeline  
  - Deliverables matrix and templates  
  - Detailed service costing schedules  
  - Resource needs identification and requests  
  - Detailed data definitions for modeling | ![Green Check]   |
| Cost Management                        | Phil Ray        | Tim Kelly        | • Engagement approach has been finalized by the NextGen Michigan Program Office.  
• The Unit Representative program will launch in September.  
• Service Level Expectations definition process in progress. | ![Green Check]   |
| Customer Relationship Management      | Rich Moffat     | Mike Loviska     | • Project Plan was restructured to integrate the separate activities associated with Event, Availability, and Capacity Management.  
• By the end of the Analyze and Design Phase, the plan is to have an integrated project plan for all phases with January 2012 Go-Live Support date. | ![Green Check]   |
| Operations and Availability            | Dani Vedagiri   | Cathy Curley     | • Project Definiton review near completion and program office acceptance in final stages.  
• Scope Prioritization with stakeholder representatives in progress and expected to complete week in September.  
• Resources to provide input into Planning have been requested and are expected to be available by mid-September. | ![Green Check]   |

† About Project Health:  
- ![Green Check] Indicates the project is progressing as expected. Significant risks or issues have not been identified or have been successfully mitigated.  
- ![Yellow Exclamation Mark] Indicates an issue occurred, which has not been mitigated, that may impact the project with regard to scope, schedule, quality, resources, cost, or benefit.